



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/480,061	01/10/00	MALIN		A 769-197CIF		-197CIP-D
Γ			コ	EXAMINER		
		QM12	2/031 9			
GERALD LEVY KANE DALSIME	KURUCZ I	_EVY	GARBE ART U	Š ŇIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EISELE AND R 711 THIRD AV NEW YORK NY	ENUE	L P		3727 DATE MAI	LED:	8
				03/19/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Applic	ati n N .	Applicant(s)						
-	• "	09/480	•	MALIN ET AL.						
Offic	Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit						
		Stephe	n P. Garbe	3727						
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communic	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ldress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)☐ Respor	nsive to communication(s) fi	led on								
2a)⊠ This ac	tion is FINAL .	2b) This action	is non-final.							
3) Since t closed	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of CI	aims			·						
4) Claim(s)	14 and 16 is/are pending i	n the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claims	are subject to restric	tion and/or election	n requirement.							
Application Pape	ers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.										
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Pri rity under 35	U.S.C. § 119									
13) Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)∏ All b)	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).										
TT/LI AURIUW	ioagonione io mado or a oian	domodio pric	, unuo, 25 0.0.5. 3							
A44 - 1										
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)										
16) Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			nary (P10-413) Paper nal Patent Application (

Application/Control Number: 09/480,061

Art Unit: 3727

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no original disclosure which illustrates or describes the currently claimed invention. In particular, there is no disclosure of the first and second seal areas being located on the same side of the interlocking members and the at least one flange being sealed to only one of the package walls. This is a new matter rejection.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "the same package walls" renders this claim confusing because the at least one flange is sealed only to one package wall. It appears that "walls" should be changed to "wall." This claim is further indefinite because it is unclear what word the phrase "spaced from said interlocking members and on a side of

Application/Control Number: 09/480,061

Art Unit: 3727

said interlocking members opposite to said first side" modifies, i.e., what is it that is "spaced from said interlocking members . . .?"

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,700,091 to Tanaka et al. (Tanaka).

Tanaka discloses all claimed features except that the webs are the same width.

Note the six seal areas numbered 32 and 34 in Figure 4. These seal areas include all seal areas required by the claims. It would have been an obvious matter of choice for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make one of the webs wider than the other because Tanaka's invention would operate satisfactorily.

- 7. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/480,061

Art Unit: 3727

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen P. Garbe whose telephone number is 703-308-1207.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 11. supervisor. Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-308-7769 for After Final communications.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or 12. proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3727

March 18, 2001